



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,909	08/28/2006	Masaya Yamamoto	2006_1366A	4375
52349 7590 01/27/2011 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503				
EXAMINER				
CHAI, LONGBIT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2431				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/27/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com

coa@wenderoth.com

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,909	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LONGBIT CHAI	2431

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 19 January 2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☒ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

- d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

Examiner notes the amendment of the instant specification was "NOT" filed before the payment of the issue fee since, even though both events occurred on the same date, it is considered that the payment have already been paid while attempting to amend the instant specification without good reason for the delay and claiming the earlier priority date as a continuation-in-part of another application may affect the prosecution process during the preparation of the ealier submitted Office actions.

/Longbit Chai/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431